OVERVIEW

The Life during COVID-19 survey ran from May 1 to June 9 2020 and had 7,306 participants from around Australia. It was the first survey in the Families in Australia Survey series.

Our aim was to understand how Australian families coped with the COVID-19 pandemic, one of the greatest health, social and economic challenges in history. We focussed on how families:

- adjusted to the pandemic, and the restrictions and programs that were put in place
- experienced the social and economic impacts of the pandemic
- supported each other, even when they didn’t, or couldn’t, live together
- protected their physical and mental health.

The findings in this report are drawn from our first analyses of the survey data. Later reports will add to, and expand on, these findings.

KEY FINDINGS

- The proportion of people always working from home rose from 7% before COVID-19 to 60% during it.
- Before COVID-19, 30% of families used parent-only care. That rose to 64% of families during COVID-19.
- While parents worked from home, 40% always or often ‘actively’ cared for children during work.
  
  *Felt like we got abandoned by work to sort out our own arrangements with little to no understanding of maintaining our productivity whilst having young children with us 24/7. Male, 45, lives in a major city, household with children*

- Almost half (43%) of respondents reported they or their partner had lost employment, reduced hours or wages. However 65% reported no real change to their personal income.
- Young adults were disproportionately impacted by the economic downturn, being almost four times (15% vs 4%) more likely to ask for help from government or NGOs.
HOW WE WORKED WHEN WE WORKED FROM HOME

Working from home and home schooling two children has been a huge burden, very difficult for us all. I struggle to complete activities sent by the school while working, and feel guilty and worried that my children aren’t keeping up.

Female, 40, lives in a major city, household with children

The rise in work from home was arguably the biggest change to working patterns during the pandemic, when the proportion of people ‘always’ working from home rose from 7% to 60%.

Families with children under 18 years, where the parents remained employed during COVID-19, reported that 60% of mothers and 41% of fathers always worked from home. And at the same time, most school-aged children were learning from home. For parents working from home, that meant divided attention!

Two-fifths (40%) of parents who worked from home during the pandemic reported always or often ‘actively’ caring for children while working. Of the remainder, 28% reported always or often ‘passively’ caring for children, 21% reported sometimes ‘actively’ or ‘passively’ caring for children, and 11% said someone else looked after the children while they worked (typically the other parent).

Caring for children while working from home

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Always or often</th>
<th>Passively always or often</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actively</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passively</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I asked to be stood down because I have had to support my three children with their remote schooling. My employer and I agreed I would stay on for one day per fortnight, on the JobKeeper subsidy.

Female, 45, lives in a regional area, household with children

The work day has extended substantially so that I can fit in home schooling. Teaching takes up time when I should be working so I have to make up the work hours when the children have gone to bed. The children also have to have something to do when I have virtual meetings which can be quite challenging and they can become restless if the meeting is long.

Female, 39, lives in a major city, household with children
HOW WE CARED FOR OUR CHILDREN

On April 6 2020, the Child Care Relief Package came into effect, providing free child care to families (with priority for essential workers and vulnerable children). Despite this, the survey recorded a steep drop in approved care usage and all other forms of non-parental care:

- 52% used approved care before COVID-19, and 26% during
- 32% used grandparent or other informal care before, and 9% during
- 8% used nannies or babysitters before, and 5% during.

While 30% of families used parent-only care before COVID-19, 64% used it during. And hardly anyone (1%) started using approved care during COVID-19; the same for other forms of non-parental care.

**Figure 1:** Care arrangements for children under 13 years, by age of youngest child, before COVID-19 and during COVID-19

Source: Families in Australia: *Life during COVID-19*, final dataset. CC BY 4.0, Australian Institute of Family Studies (AIFS)
Grandparent care

Grandparent care was a casualty of the pandemic. Nearly three out of 10 grandparents told us they provided child care at least weekly before COVID-19. Some grandparents said nothing had changed and they were still helping out, but most told us that during COVID-19 they ceased providing care.

Female, 69, lives in a regional area, single-adult household

I no longer see my only grandchild at all. He is 3 years old. I cannot help my daughter and son-in-law at all, because of the border closure between NSW and Queensland.

Female, 67 (grandparent), lives in a regional area, couple-only household

Still see the youngest [grandchildren]. They live in a two-bedroom unit. For my kid’s mental health I still supported them. The older ones are school age and their father had to work from home. We didn’t see them as much.

Gendered care

Did all the changes to work affect the way mothers and fathers shared child-care responsibilities?

When asked ‘Who typically cared for the children?’ before COVID-19, parents answered:
- ‘always or usually’ the mother 54%
- equally between mother and father 38%
- always or usually the father 8%.

When asked ‘Who typically cares for the children?’ during COVID-19, parents answered:
- ‘always or usually’ the mother 52%
- equally between mother and father 37%
- always or usually the father 11%.

These changes are very slight. However one cohort stands out: parents of children under 3 years old. In this cohort, equal sharing increased from 28% to 37%, and care ‘always or usually’ by the mother decreased from 63% to 56%. That said, it was still rare for fathers in these families to take the primary caring role (stable at around 10%).

Female, 41, lives in a major city, household with children

My husband has always gotten involved in house work and childcare but as the demands of my work increased and he needed to step up to care for our child while I was on video conferences or meetings, he was quite concerned about his unequal share of care responsibilities even though it was only temporary. It was as if he just discovered I have a real job.
How we did the housework

What about doing the shopping, cleaning and cooking? Among couples with and without children, before COVID-19 the female partner typically did the lion’s share. This barely changed during COVID-19.

Nothing much has changed. However the load has significantly increased because we are all at home and the children particularly the preschooler is chaotic mess maker. We have retained the cleaners once a fortnight.

Female, 40, lives in a major city, household with children

Although my partner stepped up to help with home schooling and domestic duties at the start of the pandemic, it wasn’t long before it shifted to inequality again.

Female, 40, lives in a major city, household with children

I am cooking a little more, but it’s mostly the same.

Male, 57, lives in a major city, multiple-adult household, no children

The table shows that before COVID-19, the female partner always or usually did the housework (43%) and shared equally (46%), while the male partner always or usually did the housework (9%). During COVID-19, these percentages remained largely unchanged, with the female partner always or usually doing the housework at 41%, shared equally at 48%, and the male partner always or usually doing the housework at 9%.
HOW WE WORKED, OR NOT

A tale of two cohorts

During the pandemic, work hours and income fell overall. Almost half of respondents (43%) reported they or their partner had lost employment, reduced hours or wages. A majority (65%) reported no significant change in their personal income. Not so for young people. The pandemic was bad for small businesses and job security, but especially so for under-30s.

- Just under 10% of participants said they’d been stood down, made redundant, or lost their job or their business during COVID-19.
- However 21% of under-30s said they’d been stood down, made redundant, or lost their job or their business during COVID-19.

Changes to employment and income

As reported above, most participants (65%) reported no real change to income during COVID-19. Meanwhile, a quarter (25%) reported a small or large decrease and 8% reported an increase.

People under 30, though, were more affected: 30% reported a decrease and 15% reported an increase.

Changes to living arrangements

Under 30s were most affected by changes to work during COVID-19. They are also the least likely cohort to own their home. So it’s not surprising that 21% reported changes to their living arrangements during the pandemic. Many said they had moved back with their parents, which explains why 21% of 50-59 year-olds also reported a change: the kids had moved back in!

We appear to save money as we are not paying for [before-and-after-school] care, swimming sessions and going out.

Female, 52, lives in a major city, household with children

I am having to be extremely cautious of what I spend and help out more than usual with finances around the home. I fear that I’ll never be able to own my own home, not even in partnership with someone.

Female, 20, lives in a major city, multiple-adult household, no children

My partner and I were living overseas at the start of the outbreak and would normally live with other adults in a share house. However, we didn’t have a residence in Australia when we returned early so we moved in with his family.

Female, 28, lives in a major city, household with children
Getting by with a little help

Many young people relied on family support during the pandemic, including direct financial support. People aged 18 to 29 were three times (21% vs 7%) more likely than others to have asked for financial support from friends or family during COVID-19. And they were almost four times (15% vs 4%) more likely than others to ask for help from government or NGOs.

We financially assist our son and daughter, who are both currently unemployed due to previously being casually employed.

Female, 58, lives in a major city, multiple adult household, no children
WHAT WE MISSED MOST

We asked our participants if there was anything that they especially missed during the COVID-19 restrictions, or ‘iso’. This is what they told us.

Figure 3: Wordmap of things we missed during ‘iso’

Source: Families in Australia Survey: Life during COVID-19, final dataset. CC BY 4.0, Australian Institute of Family Studies (AIFS)
ABOUT THE SURVEY

*Life during COVID-19* was the first survey in the *Families in Australia Survey* (AIFS’ flagship survey series). It ran from May 1 to June 9 2020, during the COVID-19 (coronavirus) pandemic.

The pandemic in Australia triggered an unprecedented set of government responses, including the closing of Australia’s borders to non-residents, and restrictions on movement, gatherings and ‘non-essential’ services. See Pandemic timeline, below.

**Figure 4: Pandemic timeline**

- **First confirmed cases of COVID-19 arrive in Australia, from Wuhan, China**
- **Queensland first state to declare public health emergency**
- **Foreign nationals from mainland China banned from entering Australia**
- **First cases of community transmission within Australia**
- **PM announces $17.6bn stimulus package to protect economy; panic buying toilet paper!**
- **Schools close in Victoria; Australia-wide, students increasingly learning from home**
- **Closure of clubs, restaurants, places of worship; NRL suspends season**
- **PM announces second stimulus package, of $66bn; AFL suspends season**
- **General ban on travel to Australia (except for Australian residents)**
- **‘Social distancing’ imposed for clubs, restaurants etc.; Ruby Princess discharges 2,700 passengers in Sydney, hundreds infected**
- **New daily cases in Australia peak at 468, cumulative cases 3,634**
- **PM gives ‘strong guidance’ for people to work/study from home and minimise outings; in Victoria, rules have been in place since Mar 18**
- **PM announces JobKeeper wage subsidy program**
- **Child Care Relief Package provides free child care to families, with priority for essential workers and vulnerable children**
- **Daily new cases drop to less than 25/day; curve is flattening, but restrictions stay in place**
- **Life during COVID-19 survey closed; new daily cases 5, cumulative cases 7,267, deaths 102**
- **Majority of students have returned to classrooms; NRL season restarts**
- **State governments easing restrictions; NSW allows up to 10 patrons per venue**
- **Life during COVID-19 survey launched; new daily cases 14, cumulative cases 6,763**
- **Launch of the COVIDSafe contact-tracing app**

*Note: CC BY 4.0, Australian Institute of Family Studies (AIFS)*
Although the health consequences over the period were not as severe in Australia as they were in many countries\(^1\), social and economic effects were profound. The Life during COVID-19 survey, undertaken during the pandemic, attempted to capture some of those effects. The survey was promoted through the media, social media, newsletters, internet advertising and word of mouth. Response was overwhelming (see below).

**Survey participants**

There were 7,306 respondents to the survey, of which 6,435 completed all survey questions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample characteristics</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/prefer not to say</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–39 years old</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–59 years old</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60+ years old</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor degree or higher</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single person households</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple adult household (no children)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple-only households</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household with children</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Survey sample characteristics

Note: Compared to the general population, the survey sample over-represents: females, middle-aged, tertiary educated, coupled (with/without children).

\(^1\) Health consequences of pandemic for survey participants: 40% said they self-isolated because they were worried for their health or the health of others in the family. 4.5% said a family or friend who does not live with them tested positive to COVID-19. 3.1% were required to self-quarantine because of returning from overseas/interstate. 1% were required to self-isolate because of close contact with someone who tested positive for COVID-19. Fewer than 1% reported they or someone they lived with tested positive for COVID-19.

Every state and territory was represented, with Victoria, the ACT and Tasmania over-represented. By geographical area, 57% resided in a major city, 40% in regional Australia and 3% in remote or very remote Australia.

**Contact**

For more information, visit the Families in Australia Survey project page or email fia-survey@aifs.gov.au.
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